

WEEKLY DOLLAR DEMOCRAT.

VOL. II.

THE WEEKLY DEMOCRAT,
PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY
HARNEY, HUGHES & CO.

TERMS OF ADVERTISING.

One dollar per square (ten lines apart) the first insertion, each continuation fifty cents per square.

GENERAL AGENTS.

C. W. JONES, Louisville, Ky., is a general agent for the Western States and Texas, assisted by H. J. Thomas, W. Ramsey, Wm. M. Thompson, and others.

W. D. GUTHRIE, Indianapolis, Ind., and Richard Locke, Receipts of the State will be good.

J. D. BAKERSON, Simplex, New York, is a general agent for the Western States.

W. H. WADDELL, Washington, D. C., is a general agent for the Democratic party.

S. L. DAVIS and B. MCKINNEY are General Agents for the Western States.

LOCAL AGENTS.

E. G. Martin, Hayville, Ky., and W. K. Ryan, Barberville, Ky., S. L. Davis, St. Louis, Mo., A. W. Shuster, Monteagle, Ky., C. M. McNew, Bonniville, Ky., T. D. Waller, Trenton, Ky., T. D. Gulf, Whetstone, Ky., W. L. D. Gulf, Whetstone, Ky., George W. Lipson, Leesville, Ky., James S. Smallwood, Hanover county, Ky., A. E. Ryden, Bradfordville, Ky., Joseph E. Kinnear, Bradfordville, Ky., A. C. Cook, Hartsville, Ky., W. F. Neel, Nashville, Ky., W. H. Neel, Hartsville, Ky., Hon. Tim J. Gough, Meade county, Ky., A. G. Bell, Springfield, Greene, Ky., Dr. S. E. Bryant, Madison, Ky., P. L. Kerlin, Morganboro, Ind., Meigs Creek, Grayville, Ky., James R. Jones, Henry county, Ky., John W. Moore, Tippacomico, Ky., R. Baker, Claysburg, Ky., G. W. Mitchell, Nicholaville, Ky., James M. Suter, Bedford, Ky., James C. Calvert, Winchester, Clarke county, Ky., T. J. Jackson, Carlow, Ky., W. H. Buckman, New Haven, Ky., P. Wherry, Cynthiahia, Ky., S. & W. J. Wise, Vincennes, Ind., James R. Jones, Lawrenceburg, Ky., Frank Grinnell, Hartford, Ky., Wm. G. Coggs, Coggs Landing, Ky., Wm. H. McNease, Murray, Ky., S. W. McNease, Murray, Ky., P. Sparks, Pleasantville, Ky., W. H. Mason, Shelby county, Ky., Garnett Hayes, Covington, Ky., John C. Lawson, Garrettsville, Ky., Joe E. Davis, Carrollton, Ky.

FRIDAY, - - SEPTEMBER 14, 1855.

and property, this time next year will not find five hundred foreigners left in Louisville.

It is useless to shut our eyes to these facts. They are facts, and known to be so by every one who takes the trouble to investigate the subject. The Journal's lies will not keep the foreigners here, nor will our telling the truth about it cause them to leave. He is no friend to the city of Louisville who shut his eyes to her present perilous condition.

Prentiss Enters One of his Own Lies.

Occasionally it happens that the editor of the Journal ventures upon so palpable a lie that he has forced himself to swallow the nauseous dose again.

See with what complaisance the fellow acknowledges himself a liar in his issue of Wednesday:

"A CORRECTION.—We find that we have been guilty of a serious error in our last number, in giving the name of Hon. Hammie Marshall in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

We make this correction gladly, as we could not knowingly mislead any fair and unprejudiced reader any further in this matter.

Our readers would perhaps like to see the "error," as the editor calls it. Here it is—copied from his paper Monday:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

The writer masters a formidable array of voters against the patent saints of the old Bay State, and we earnestly hope his apprehensions are well founded. They are the poor negroes, who believe the white man to be as good as the negro, if he behaves himself.

Finally, there are the Irish, in frightful numbers; they have been naturalized, but hitherto have not voted. Now they will come to the polls in self-defence, against a party that proscribes them and their religion.

On motion, Dr. A. D. Guthrie, Joseph H. Lane, John Shepherd, John W. Stewart, A. H. Talbot, Daniel Tandy, Col. Daniel Johnson, Silas Gates, George Bell, P. Evans, W. H. Lane, Daniel Pen, W. W. Pierce, Isaac Greenwood, B. P. Connel, John Morgan, J. D. Elles, John Roberts, Esq., Nathan Barnes, Dr. Newkirk, Wm. M. Mathews, John F. Butler, and Judge Evan M. Carton, were appointed a committee to draft resolutions expressive of the feelings of the meeting.

The following preamble and resolutions, which were adopted, are given:

"We are for the sinner, against the Pharisees."

DISTRESSING ACCIDENT.—On Thursday of last week, Hanford R. Chapman, a little son of Mr. Chapman, of Medina, Ohio, aged six years, was instantaneously killed by falling from a load of wood, under the wheels of two wagon. His father, who was returning home with the wood, and in going over a rough place, the wagon received a sudden jar, throwing him off, and before the team could be stopped, the hind wheel passed over his back, causing his death instantly.

Our readers would perhaps like to see the "error," as the editor calls it. Here it is—copied from his paper Monday:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

We find the above in the Franklin correspondence of the Courier of the 15th instant. We know not whether the Courier's correspondent, or everybody with an ounce of intelligence, will be satisfied with such utterly preposterous and ridiculous.

"Of course there is no such thing as a man who is not fit to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 22d instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 29th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 5th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 12th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 19th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 26th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 3rd instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 10th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 17th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 24th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 31st instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 7th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 14th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 21st instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 28th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 4th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 11th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 18th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 25th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 1st instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 8th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 15th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 22d instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 29th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 6th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 13th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 20th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected to deliver an address to the Governor-elect, and we have been informed that he was selected to speak at the inauguration, but that he did not appear to be present for that purpose. It is presumed that the reason of his absence was that he had been called to speak at another place, and that he did not think he would have approved the arrangement."

Now we find the same in the paper of the 27th instant, with the following addition:

"The address to the Governor elect, advertised in the programme of the Governor's Inauguration, which was expected

believe; the other occupants lost all they had—she lost all her.

And she further states that she heard Gideon G. Quinn, a nephew of Mr. Gideon G. Quinn's say that when he came there, "he saw that Mr. Quinn was burnt, and told him the old man was roasted enough, and to take him out"; and he added, "Now see here, boys, this man is gone far enough—nothing they may stop it, and not burn his son's house."

SARAH McGRAW.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th day of Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

I was present at the commencement of the riot in the Third Ward on the day of the election is August last; saw the stoning of the house at the corner of Chapel and Market, from whence I proceeded to Main street, saw John Hudson, with whom I was acquainted, who had been shot on the south side of Main street, pursuing an Irishman, and was with the crowd who rushed in after him. Hudson was armed with a bowie knife, and was shot in the back, and I heard him say, when a tall young man, who I suppose took him for an escaping Irishman, shot him in the back of the head. Hudson lay on his back, and on being turned over, I heard him call out, "Kill John Hudson"; and immediately took him up with others carried him out; was well acquainted with Hudson, and had known him for many years. JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

I was well acquainted with the John Hudson mentioned in the foregoing deposition. He was a man of bad character, and while working for me was arrested and held to bail for passing counterfeit money. I have seen his name mentioned in the papers in connection with a breach of the peace and an assault on a German. At the time of the riot, Hudson's residence was in the upper part of the city. JOHN REEDMAN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of Edgar Heunes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

EDGAR HEUNES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of Edgar Heunes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

EDGAR HEUNES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

JOHN HOBES.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 15th Sept., 1855.

JOHN H. NEW, N. P. J. C.

Deposition of John Hobes.

Hudson was shot and killed by the mob, and was one of the principal instigators of the riot.

